THE SECOND INTERNATIONAL OLIMPIAD IN INFORMATICS OF PUPILS Minsk, July 15-21, 1990

FINAL REPORT

Limpula par file Telix

TLX 320-1.21P

70 AFCE

1.	Introduction4
2.	Regulation of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics5
3.	List of Participating Countries8
4.	Organizers and Sponsors9
5.	The Organization Committee of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics
6.	The Jury Leading Bodies of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics
7.	Commentaries to the Preparatory Period
8.	General Programm19
9.	The First Round
10.	The Second Round56
11.	Distribution of Diplomas, Prizes and Special Prizes88
12.	The Working Group in Coordination of Actions Dealing with the Following Olympiads

13.	Conclusion92	! *
14.	Appendix94	:

Introduction

The Second International Olympiad in Informatics of pupils took place in Minsk, BSSR, USSR on July 15-21, 1990.

The Second International Olympiad in Informatics (the IOI-90) was under the aegis of UNESCO and was organized by the USSR State Committee of Public Education, the BSSR Ministry of Public Education, the All-Union Society of Informatics and computing technique, the Byelorussian scientific and production association of computing technique.

25 teams from 24 countries took part in the Olympiad. One country was represented by observers (see p.3). For comparison: 16 teams from 13 countries took part in the first IOI-89. The total number of participants was 94 (in the IOI-89-46).

The Competitions of the IOI-90 were in two rounds (at the IOI-89 - in one round). Each round lasted four hours & besides, the participants had the right to ask questions in written form during the first 30 minutes without any direct contact with the Jury members, who gave written answers. The Jury selected one problem per round from a number of problems which the Scientific Committee had worked out beforehand based on the problems given by the countries-participants. The participants were given the formulations of the selected problems translated by the team leaders into their native languages.

A Personal computer, compatible with IBM PC XT was at each partisipant's disposal. Besides, the participants had the right to use their own personal computers. China and FRG used the right.

At the end of each round team leaders and representatives of the Jury Coordination Comission collected solutions of problems from participants of corresponding teams. The solution was a diskette with a startin text of the program, a feasible code and also a listing of the program. All these materials were handed in two identical copies: one of them was for the leader of a corresponding team and the other for the coordinator of the team.

Appreciation of the solutions was done on the basis of the test system, worked out by the Scientific Committee and confirmed by the Jury. Examination of the solutions was done by team leaders and the Coordination Commission members independently. Then the joint control was done during which marks for a decision were given and the Jury confirmed them after that.

According to the results of the competitions the Jury distributed diplomas, prizes and special prizes which were handed at the closing ceremony. Besides, every participant was handed a diploma about participation in the Olympiad.

During the Olympiad in Minsk on July 17-19 there was the International Scientific and Methodical Seminar 'Informatics and Education'.

- 16 reports on the three main themes were heard at the seminar:
- Conception of Informatization of Education;
- Informatics at School;
- Training of Informatics Experts.
- 111 persons took part in the Seminar.

- 2. Regulation of the Second International Olimpiad in Informatics
- 2.1. General Information about the Olympiad
- 2.1.1. The IOI is the competition in Informatics for pupils not elder than 20 years at the beginning of the competition. (i.e they were born after July 15, 1970).
- 2.1.2. A team of four (or less) members stands for each country at the Olympiad. The participants are accompanied by an adult team leader. It is preferable to have both boys and girls in a team.
- 2.1.3. The participants of IOI compete between themselves in solving of two tasks with the help of a personal computer (PC). The IOI is carried out in two rounds, eash round a day.
- 2.1.4. The International Jury selects tasks from a number of them (see p.2.2.2.) proposed by the participating countries beforehand.
- 2.1.5. The tasks must be independent from the architecture of a concrete PC, they must have an algorithmetic character and not demand specialized program pascages. High-level programming languages, such as BASIC, PASCAL, C, LOGO, etc. may be used.
- 2.1.6. The complete solution of a problem includes:
- a) The starting text of the program and the results of its fulfilment printed with the help of a PC (2 copies)
- b) The last version of the program, the starting text and, perhaps, an executed code, written on a floopy disk (2 copies) It is desirable also to present an informal description and grounds of the algorithm on the basis of which the program is written.
- 2.1.7. One copy of the materials mentioned in p.2.1.6. a) and b) is handed to a representative of the Coordination Comission (see p.2.2.3.) after finishing the competitions. The second copy of these materials is left for a team leader.
- 2.1.8. A preliminary appreciation of the solution is done by the leader of a corresponding team. The final solution is carried out by the Coordination Comission and the International Jury.
- 2.1.9. The participants of the competitions may use their own PC familiar to them. Each participant must be able to input, edit and run a program, as well as to carry it from one carried to another. The participants may use the essential quantity of their own floppy disks, on which necessary for them software is written.

Every participant must have two spare disks for writing the results of his (her) work.

According to the participants advanced requirements the organizers may give them, PC compatible with IBM / PC / XT / AT. 2.1.10. The working languages:

- a) All participants use their native languages
- b) A team leader must understand the languages of team members, as well as speak one of the working languages of the competitions Russian or English.

2.1.11. The Olympiad Organization Committee pays expenses to the team members & the leaders for their staying in Minsk during the IOI.

The expenses dealing with the staying the other persons from the countries-participants and also with the journey of all participants to Minsk and back are not payed.

- 2.2. The Executive Bodies
- 2.2.1. The Organization Committee of the IOI.
- 2.2.2. The International Jury.

The Jury includes :

- a) Chairman
- b) Deputy Chairman
- c) Scientific Secretary
- d) Chairman of the Scientific Committee
- e) team leaders
- 2.2.3. The Coordination Commission.

The Coordination Commission is a working body of the Jury and is responsible for selection of problems, examination and marks. The Commission includes mainly representatives of the organizer-country.

The Coordination Commission includes :

- 1) The Scientific Committee
- 2) A Group of Coordinators
- 3) A Group of Interpretors
- All participating countries may send a representative at their expense for the work in the Coordination Commission.
 - 2.3. Preparation and Carrying out the IOI.
- 2.3.1. The participating countries are proposed to send 1-2 problems(with the solution in one of the working languages of the Olympiad) at the Organization Committee address up to April 1, 1990.
- 2.3.2. The Scientific Committee proposes each day problems of the competitions. Not more than five problems are chosen for each round from a number, presented by all participating countries.
- 2.3.3. Selection of the problems by the International Jury.

 a) The International Jury chooses one problem from five, proposed by the Scientific Committee and discusses its solution, the level of difficulty and the method of appreciation. The appriciation must admit the possibility of the marks of the partial solutions. The Jury formulates the selected problem in the working languages of the IOI (Russian & English).
- b) A team leader translates the provisions of a selected problem into the national language of his team. The Jury checks up and affirms texts of translations.
- c) The Jury decides all other matters.
- 2.3.4. Procedure of the rounds.
- a) Every round is carried out in one day and lasts for 4 hours.
- b) During the first 30 minutes after the beginning of a round the

participants may ask questions, clarifying the formulation of a problem. The questions are asked in written form in a participant's native language. The Jury decides what to answer.

c) After finishing of a round a team leader together with a representative of the Coordination Commission collect the participants' solutions of a corresponding team.

- d) The team leaders check up all team members' solutions of the problems, submit a proposal about marks and neconcile them with the Coordination Commission. The Jury makes decisions about final marks.
- e) The Jury determines the quantity of marks for getting 1, 2, 3 prises.
- 2.3.5. Official Closing.
- a) The prizes are presented at the special ceremony.
- b) All participants get diplomas about participation in the IOI.

3. List of Participating Countries

- 1. Argentina
- 2. Byelorussia
- 3. Bulgaria *
- 4. Great Britain
- 5. Hungary *
- 6. Vietnam *
 7. GDR *
 8. Greece *

- 9. Italy
- 10. Spain
- 11. China *
- 12. Korea
- 13. Cuba * 14. Kuwait 15. Morocco

- 16. Mongolia
- 17. Netherlands
- 18. Norway
- 19. Poland *
- 20. Romania
- 21. USSR *
- 22. Thailand ** 23. FRG *
- 24. Czeckoslovakia
- 25. Sweden
- 26. Yugoslavia

Note: Countries marked with a symbol * took part also in the 101-89. Countries marked with a symbol ** were represented at 101-90 only as observers.

4. Organizers and sponsors.

It is rather symbolic that the 2nd IOI is being held in 1990- the year proclaimed by the UN General Assembly the International Literacy Year. The choice of venue is also by no means accidental - it is here that Francisk Skorina, the first Byelorussian printer and an outstanding scientist and enlightener whose 500th anniversary is celebrated all over the world this year, was born.

It is a great honour for our Republic to host the international forum of young people from Europe, Asia, Latin America and Africa.

We sincerely believe that this event will further promote friendly links and mutual understanding between peoples through cooperation in the sphere of education.

The 2nd IOI has been made possible by the joint efforts of various governmental, scientific and professional organizations: UNESCO, State Committee of the USSR for People's Education, the Ministry of People's Education of the Byelorussian Soviet Socialist Republic and the All-Union Computer and Informatics Society, Byelorussian research - and - production association of computers are among them.

The 2nd IOI has been sponsored by

OLIVETTI

Olivetti is the Italian industry leader in the information technology. It has been working all over the world on office automation for more than 80 years.

Olivetti has production plants in Italy, Germany, Spain, France, Singapore, the USA, Brazil, Mexico and Yugoslavia, has technology centres in Italy, the USA and Germany, commercial subsidiaries in all countries of the world.

Olivetti's employees are more than 50.000 and a yearly turnover is about 8 Billion dollars.

Olivetti is the 8th industry of information technology in the world.

Olivetti has a representative office in Moscow and has been working in the USSR for more than 30 years.

Olivetti offer covers all needs in information technologies in the most important sectors of economy industries to banks. education, transports and public administration with a wide range of computers from simple home PCs to computer network systems.

Typewriters, copying machines, FAXs, teleprinters, cash registers, printers, magnetic peripherals, complete the range of products for office automation.

ALGORITM

is the leading specialized venture providing delivery and introduction of computer equipment and software for personal computers and ES computers. The centre is engaged in training and retraining of national and foreign specialists in the field of computer equipment in 150 specialities including programmers and computer operators.

The centre has highly trained personnel, modern technology

and methodical base at its disposal.

Minsk Scientific and Educational Centre "Algoritm" is a guarantee of a fast introduction of the latest achievements in the field of computer equipment and programmatics into national economy.

The main tasks of MSEC "Algoritm" are :

- a complete satisfaction of home market needs in computer equipment and software;
- creation and delivery of workstations of different computer architectures;
- designing software and its development in accordance with user requirements.

Solving these problems "Algoritm" proceeds from home producer suggestions and from import potentialities.

The room for computer games is attached to the centre where a wide choice of computer games is available. You will be able to master programming languages and applied programmes for PCs, to carry out diploma papers, engineering, economic and scientific calculations, to acquire practical skills of dealing with computer.

Our address is:

USSR, 220600, Minsk, MSEC "Algoritm",

ul. Pritytskogo 60/2

Telephone: 51-15-14; 52-35-19; 51-44-12

Telefax: 513032(0172) Telex: 252183 "GERB" SU.

SPEKTR

"Spektr" is one of the largest public scientific, production and intermediary organizations with annual turnover about 8 mln. roubles.

"Spektr" deals with:

- investigations in chemistry, mechanics and technology;
- development of radio-engineering, electronics, machine-building systems and devices;

- development of technology in microelectronics;
- designing software;
- fibre optic and laser engineering;
- processing and reclamation of industrial wastes;
- waste-free manufactures;
- powder metallurgy;
- designing documents;
- designing investigations for construction.

"Spektr" has information about specialists with high proficiency from research institutes, colleges, design offices at its disposal.

- "Spektr" offers:
- setting up a joint venture for scientific and technical production according to "Spektr" or its partner's technology to be realized in the USSR and abroad (audio, video cassettes and so on);
- delivering specimens of devices and systems developed and manufactured by "Spektr" according to partners requirements;
- licences for design and technological documents, know-how, rendering assistance of engineering type.

Our address is: USSR, 220027, Minsk, Leninsky pr. 65/1 "B" tel. 31-97-61 31-93-36

DIALOG

Joint Venture "Dialog" is the first enterprise of the Soviet Union and the USA in the field of high technology and particularly in the field of computer equipment.

The founders of JV "Dialog" are "MPI" firm (Chicago), PA KAMAZ, M. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Central Economical and Mathematical Institute of the USSR Academy of Sciencies, V/O "Vneshtechnika", the USSR Academy of Sciences Computer Centre, the Institute of Space Exploration.

The basic features of Minsk Branch activities are:

- producing and spreading personal computers, computer systems, software in the Soviet Union and abroad;
- consultations and expert estimation of PCs as well as of program product in order to determine the prospects of its selling abroad:
- designing mathematical models and software for engineering and technological problems;
 - designing CAD for machine-and-device building;
- solving optimization, experiment planning and forecasting problems;
- sponsoring investigations in the field of medicine, architecture, arts, new methods of education.

If you take an interest in our activities you may contact us:

Telephone: 378326 Telefax: 377941.

Please, contact us should you require any additional information about our firm. Our address is:

Joint Venture "Dialog"

Minsk Branch

USSR, 220002, Minsk

Starovilensky Trakt, 41

M C C

The Byelorussian Branch of International Computer Club is a new public non-governmental, non-commercial organization founded on independent base for all kinds of developing international relations in the fields of modern computer and informatics, promotion of computer knowledge and culture.

The Byelorussian Branch of ICC follows the motto of the club: "Informatics at the service of international dialogue". It develops new progressive technologies, creates multipurpose application packages, finds solutions of sophisticated scientific problems.

It sponsors education of gifted young people, develops computer technologies for medicine and regional ecologies.

It promotes establishment of wide international contacts in various fields of science and culture.

Our address is: USSR, Minsk, Masherov pr., 17 Tel.: 23 68 86

ONIX

To give a child and sometimes even a grown-up person chance to smile and feel happier is the main goal which the firm is striving to gain.

Production of toys of soft materials and wood is one of the directions of its activities.

It will also help you to feel more comfortable at home.
Building materials, ready-made pieces of furniture and
complete sets of furniture for a kitchen will contribute to
your relaxation and depriving of stress of everyday life.

You got interested in the production of our firm?

You are welcome.

Our address is:

USSR, 220600 Minsk,

ul. Kazintsa, 7a

tel. 77-83-40,

78-46-28

KONUS

Service and software - these are 2 serious reasons for trouble which computer class owners face. But if you contact us you will be able to get over all the difficulties related to computers.

Cooperation with our venture is a good chance for you not only to achieve a modern level of computer equipment usage but never lag behind in its development.

We are confident that there is no simpler and faster way of improv in a computer education than to make use of the services we can afford.

Our address is:

USSR, BSSR, Grodnenskaya oblast, Lida,

Tel.: 2 66 29

DIAG

DIAG is the International Joint Venture of the USSR and Switzerland. The firm designs software, computer systems.

Our address is: USSR, 117454, MOSCOW, ul. Udaltsova, 24 Tel.: 131 10 00 Fax: 200 22 16/17

5. The Organization Committee of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics.

Sukhnat Lyudmila Konstantinovna	- Chairman of the Organization Committee
Kozulin Alexander Vladislavovitch	- Deputy Chairman of the Organization Committee
Kruglei Maxim Maximovitch	- Deputy Chairman of the Organization Committee
Kurbatsky Alexander Nicolayevitch	- Deputy Chairman of the Organization Committee
Petrovsky Gennady Nikolayevitch	- Deputy Chairman of the Organization Committee
Shemetov Dmitry Ivanovitch	- Deputy Chairman of the Organization Committee
Ratobylskaya Emilia Sergeevna	- Executive Secretary of the Organization Committee

6. The Jury Leading Bodies of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics

The Jury Chairman:

Krasovsky Nicolai Nikolayevich Academician of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Sverdlovsk

Deputies of the Jury Chairman:

Sidorov Anatoly Fyodorovich Corresponding member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR; Katkov Vladislav Leonidovich Professor, Minsk

The Chaiman of the Coordination Commission
Rogovich Valery Iosifovich
Sverdlovsk
The Jury Scientific Sekretary
Strusevich Vitaly Alexandrovich
Minsk
The Scientific Committee:
Korzhenevich Yury Vladimirovich, Minsk
- Chairman of the Scientific Committee
Volkov Igor Anatolyevich, Minsk
- Member of the Scientific Committee
Derzhansky Ivan, Bulgaria
- Member of the Scientific Committee
Strusevich Vitaly Alexandrovich
- Member of the Scientific Committee

7. Commentaries to the Preparatory Period

- 1. The representatives of 13 countries took part in the previous International Olympiad in Informatics (the 101-89, Pravets, Bulgaria, 1989). To increase the number of participating the organizers of the Second International Olympiad in Informatics (the 101-89) sent information about the forthcoming competitions to more than 50 countries. As the Olympic movement hasn't taken shape yet and in some countries such competitions don't take place, the organizers didn't know institutions and personalities in each concrete country, which had the right to make a decision participation of a country in the 101. The following channels were used while sending information about the forthcoming Olympiad:
 - -UNESCO corresponding subdivisions; -the countries embassies in the USSR;
 - -personal connections

It's a pity, but the organizers didn't get the proper support from the Working Coordination Group for organization of International Olympiads Informatics. in The Group had specially organized at the 101-89 for the support of the future international Olympiads. The representatives of 8 countries from different regions, as well as UNESCO, became members of it. But the organization of the Group had apparently the declarative character. The necessary financial issues were not solved and as a result the representatives of the Working Group could not meet between the first and the Second Olympiads.

2. The first informational letter and the preliminary regulation of the 101-90 were sent in October 1989. There was a request in the letter to the participarting countries to send and order for participartion in the Olympiad and versions of the problems, used at the national competitions in informatics in the address of the Organization Committee of the 101-90 up to December 15, 1989. But as it turned out not all countries could give such information in good time since the documents sent found people with interests at stake in most cases above the Organization Committee accepted the orders up to June 1990.

Not all participating countries found it possible to send to organizers versions of the problems of the national competitions in informatics. The Organization Committee considered to be a pleasant duty express its thankfulness to to the organizers' request: countries, which fulfilled Bulgaria, Hungary, Mongolia, Kuwait, Romania, FRG, Yugoslavia.

The Information given by these countries helped the Organization Committee to make a fuller idea about traditions of carrying out of competitions of such a kind in different countries, to make well-found conclusions about the types of problems, which were reasonable to select for carrying out of the 101-90 rounds.

3. There was a request in the sent regulations of the 101-90 in October 1989 to the participating countries to give one or two problems in the address of the Organization Committee. The Scientific Committee of the International Jury was to select the

problems for the Olympiad rounds. The Organization Committee expresses its sincere gratitude to the countries which sent the problems:

Bulgaria (2 problems)

Great Britain (2 problems)

China (2 problems)

Korea (2 problems)

Romania (2 problems)

Checkoslovakia (1 problem)

FRG (2 problems)

It's a pity but not all the authors of the problems informed about the algorithm of the solution (in the form of the program in one of the algorithmical languages or of the pseudocode), sometimes there was no information about the existence of the author's solution at all.

The authors proposed the system of testing of solutions and estimation of tests very seldom. Not all formulations of the problems were done in one of the working languages of the Olympiad.

All problems were sent too late. It is enough to say, that to May 1990 the Organization Committee had not a single problem at from abroad. Four from the above mentioned its disposal sent problems were presented some days of the beginning of the Olympiad and, of course , no proper approbation before was done. Under such conditions the Organization Committee was forced to rely upon the problems presented by the USSR and Byelorussia. 4. The Organizers of the Olympiad were faced with certain difficulties while forming the leading bodies of the International Jury. The corresponding members of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR S. S. Lavrov (Leningrtad) was invited as Chairman of the Jury in October 1989 S.S.Lavrov took an active part in the working out of the preliminary regulation of the Olympiad and in the formation of the working bodies of the International Jury. It is a pity but Professor Lavrov couldn't head the work of the Jury during the Olympiad because of his health.The Organization Committee expresses its deep gratitude for the great work done during the preparation of the Olympiad and wisnes him to recover

In June 1990 the Organization Committee addressed a request the academician of the Academy ofSciences of the USSR. N. N. Krasovsky (Sverdlovsk) to take duties of Chairman Jury. The corresponding member of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, A. F. Sidorov (Sverdlovsk) was invited as Chairman of the Jury. The Organization Committee expresses its deep to N.N.Krasovsky and A.F.Sidorov who agreed kindly to gratitude assume hard duties in the leadership of the International Jury. 5. The Organization Committee succeeded in avoiding difficulties of the preparatory period and during the Olympiad the great assistance rendered by the Organizers of the previous Olympiad. Professor P. Kenderov, P. Azalov, A. Boychev, M. Gorancheva. I.Derzhansky, E. Kelevedzhiev, K. Manev and many other colleagues shared their experience generously. Bulgarian Organization Committee expresses to all of them sincere gratitude.

The Organization Committee of the Second International Olympiad in Informaties assures the Organizers of the following International Olympiads that it is ready to support and share its experience in carrying out such competitions.

8. General programme.

15.07.90 SUNDAY

(The day of arrival)

Arrival of the participants of the IOI in Minsk. Registration. Accomodation at the hotel.

- 9.00 Breakfast at the hotel
- 13.00 Lunch at the hotel
- 17.30 Dinner at the hotel
- 19.00 Theatre
- 19.30 The first meeting of the Jury(Place:Minsk Young Pioneer Palace)

16.07.90 MONDAY

- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel
- 10.00 A tour of Minsk
- 13.00 Lunch at the hotel
- 15.00 The Opening Ceremony of the 2nd IOI (Palace)
- 16.00 Computer equipment introducing
- 16.00 The second meeting of the Jury (until 18.00)
- 19.00 Dinner at the hotel

17.07.90 TUESDAY

- 6.30 Coffee for the Jury (Palace)
- 7.00 The third meeting of the Jury (until 10.00)
- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel (for the teams and coordinators)
- 10.00 The 1st Round of the IOI (until 14.00)

- 11.00 Breakfast at the hotel (for the Jury)
- 12.30 The fourth meeting of the Jury (until 14.00, Palace)
- 15.00 Lunch at the hotel
- 16.30 Session of the Coordination Commission. Checking up the solutions of the 1st Round problem (Palace)
- 18.00 Joint analysis of solutions (the team leaders + participants, until 19.30, Palace)
- 20.00 Dinner at the hotel

18.07.90 WEDNESDAY

- 6.30 Coffee for the Jury (Palace)
- 7.00 The fifth meeting of the Jury (until 10.00)
- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel (for the teams and coordinators)
- 10.00 The 2nd Round of the IOI (until 14.00)
- 11.00 Breakfast at the hotel (for the Jury)
- 12.30 The sixth meeting of the Jury (until 14.00, Palace)
- 15.00 Lunch at the hotel
- 16.30 Session of the Coordination Commission. Checking up the solutions of the 2nd Round problem (until 20.30, Palace)
- Joint analysis of solutions (the team leaders + participants, until 18.00, Palace)
- 18.30 Dinner at the hotel (the team leaders + participants)
- 20.30 The Party at the Palace. Entertainment Programme
- 21.00 Dinner at the hotel (Coordination Commission)

19.07.90 THURSDAY

- 7.00 Coffee for the Jury and Coordinators (Palace)
- 7.30 Joint Session of the Coordination Commission and team leaders. Estimation of the results of the 1st Round of the IOI (until 11.30)
- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel (for the teams)
- 9.30 A full day tour of the countryside for the teams: coach excursion to Khatyn, Raubichy or to the pioneer camp "Zubrionok"
- Joint Session of the Coordination Commission and team leaders. Estimation of the results of the 2nd Round of the IOI (until 13.00)
- 13.30 Lunch at the hotel (for the Jury)
- 15.00 The continuation of the Joint Session (until 18.00, Palace)
- 18.00 The seventh meeting of the Jury (Palace)
- 21.00 Dinner at the hotel

20.07.90 FRIDAY

- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel
- 9.30 Joint Session of the Coordination Commission and team leaders. Final marking of the results of the IOI (until 11.00)
- 10.00 A tour of Botanic Gardens, Museums, Children's Railway
- 13.00 The eighth meeting of the Jury. Summing up. Making decisions on diploma-, prize- and special prize-winners
- 13.30 Lunch at the hotel (for the teams)
- 14.30 Lunch at the hotel (for coordinators and team leaders)
- 17.30 Dinner at the hotel

- 18.30 The Presentation of Awards Ceremony (Palace)
- 20.30 Cocktail-party on behalf of the Minister of Public Education of Byelorussia (at the hotel)

21.07.90 SATURDAY (the day of departure)

- 8.30 Breakfast at the hotel
- 13.00 Lunch at the hotel
- 18.00 Dinner at the hotel

Results of the Discussion of the Submited Problems.

The Scientific Committee of the International Jury selected six problems from a number sent in the adress of the Olympiad Organization Commeittee. The International Jury was to choose one of them. On the eve of the Olympiad there was a sitting of the Jury where the procedure of voting was discussed. Four procedures were submitted:

- -secret voting when every Jury member votes for only one problem; the problem for a round is determined by the majority of the given voices for it;
- -open voting when every Jury member can vote for any number of problems; the problem for a round is determined by the majority of the given voices for it;
- -open voting, when every Jury member may vote for not more than 3 problems; the problem for a round is determined by the majority of the given voices for it;
- -multistage open voting, at every stage of which every Jury member may vote for any number of problems, but the problem taken a minimal number of voices in the previous voting is not admitted for the next stage.

The Jury confirmed the last procedure of voting by the majority of voices. The analogous procedure of voting was used while selecting the problems in the 101-89.

According to the Jury Chairman's proposal it was decided that in the voting during the selection of a problem only the team leaders would vote since 5 representatives of one and the same country were in the Jury.

The Jury members were proposed the texts of the problems formulations in the working languages of the Olympiad. Corrections eliminating errors and ambiguities were made in some formulations during the discussion.

After the two-hours study and discussion of the problems formulations the Jury proceeded to voting. And as a result problem 1 was selected. The results of the voting are reflected in the following table:

		STA	GES OF VOTI	NG	
PROBLEM	1	2	3	4	5
1 2	14 12	16 11	17 9	16	16
3 4	10 12	11 14	12 14	12 11	10
5 6	7 10	- 7	-	-	-

However, some team leaders paid attention to the fact that the formulatoins of the selected problem required a closer definition. It was proposed to describe the way the problem was put more strictly, to characterize the type of input and output

information. As a result of the discussion the formilation of the problem was worked out in Russian, then it was read out, interpreted English and confirmed by the Jury. After that the worked out formulation of the problem was translated into English and printed in both working languages in a necessary number of copies.

It's a pity, but four hours had passed from the moment of the selection of the problem till its final formulation in native languages was arrived at. Thus, the round began at 13.00, but not at 10.00,

as it had been planned.

The sitting of the Jury was held after the beginning of round and the following decisions were arrived at:

-to begin the second round of the Olympiad not at 10.00 on July 18, as it had been planned, but at 13.00;

-to assign the Scientific Committee to represent for the Jury not six problems, as it had been done for the first round, but 3-4;
-to allow the Scientific Committee to change the author's formulation of problem in order to reduce time for the working out of the final problem formulation selected by the Jury for the second round.

11. Distribution of Diplomas, Prizes and Special Prizes

The results of the distribution of diplomas, prizes and special prizes of the Second International Olympiad Informatics are given below. The rewards were distributed at the special sitting of the International Jury and were Handed at the Closing Ceremony.

The First Degree Diplomas and Prizes

- 1. Tsvetomir PETROV, Bulgaria
- 2. Fridtjof SIEBERT, FRG
- 3. Teodor TONCHEV, Bulgaria 4. Dmitry KOZLOV, USSR
- 5. Daniel SZABO, Hungary
- 6. Georgy DATUASHVILI, USSR
- 7. JIANG XIAOYE, China
- 8. Nicolas KUZMINSKI, Argentina

The Second Degree Diplomas and Prizes

- 1. YANG CHENG, China
- 2. LI YIAN, China
- 3. Jacek CHRZASZCZ, Poland
- 4. THAN HOAI LINH, Vietnam
- 5. Andrei-Horia CALDARARU, Romania
- 6. Aleksandar SUSNJAR, Yugoslavia
- 7. Ulf NIELANDER, GDR
- 8. Zoltan HORNAK, Hungary
- 9. Christian KLOSS, FRG
- 10. Oleg TABOROVETS, BSSR

The Third Degree Diplomas and Prizes

- 1. YANG YUNHE, China
- 2. Miroslav KOCAN, Czecho-Slovakia
- 3. Petter ERICSON, Sweden
- 4. Castro ABDUL VARTINEZ, Cuba
- 5. NGUYEN VIET HA, Vietnam
- 6. Igor MALY, Czecho-Slovakia
- 7. Rafal BOGACZ, Poland
- 8. Yuri ZAITSEV, USSR
- 9. Dario ROBAK, Argentina
- 10. NGUYEN ANH LINH, Vietnam
- 11. Leon MAGDIC, Yugoslavia 12. Sergei LINEV ,BSSR

Encouragement Prizes

- 1. Rein VARBLANE, USSR
- 2. Radim KRIVANEK, Czecho-Slovakia
- 3. Mircea-Valer PAUCA, Romania
- 4. Fleur KEPLIN, Netherlands
- 5. Stoyan KHALKALIEV, Bulgaria
- 6. Georgi CHALAKOV, Bulgaria
- 7. Ralf LEHNMAN, GDR
- 8. Vanja MALIDZAN, Yugoslavia
- 9. Erno FEGES,, Yugoslavia
- 10. Szymon KIELBASA, Poland
- 11. Radu PREDA, Romania
- 12. Uwe RAST OFER, GDR
- 13. Horhe Armando NAVARRO PARSIA, Cuba
- 14. Stephan KOHLITZ, FRG
- 15. Rares-Dorin PORUTIU, Romania

Prizes to the Best Team Participants

- 1. Angus CAMPBELL, Greate Britain
- 2. Alixis CHOUCHOULAS, Greece
- 3. Raffaele PELLECCHIA, Italy
- 4. Jose Manuel ALLJARILLA CORTEZON, Spain
- 5. KIM VON YEN, Korea
- 6. Muhammed RAMADAN, Kuwait
- 7. Fatima TOUKHATI, Morocco
- 8. Chuluunbaatar LHAGVAJARGAL, Mongolia
- 9. Kristian Holth HANSSEN, Norway

The Special Prize for the Victory in the First Round

Fridtjof Siebert, FRG

The Special Prize for the Victory in the Second Round

- 1. Tsvetomir PETROV, Bulgaria
- 2. Dmitry KOZLOV, USSR
- 3. Daniel SZABO, Hungary

The Special Prize for the Best Participant of the BSSR Team Oleg TABOROVETS, BSSR

The Special Prize "For the Will to the Victory" Mats ANDERSSON, Sweden

The Special Prize "For the Best Result Among the Girls" Fleur KEPLIN, Netherlands

The Special Prize "To the Youngest Participant- boy of the Olympiad"

Muhammed RAMADAN, Kuwait (born January 16,1976)

The Special Prize "To the Youngest Participant-gerl of the Olympiad"*

Fieke DEKKER, Netherlands (born October 14, 1974)

The Special Prize "To the Best Team"

- 1. BULGARIA
- 2. CHINA
- 3. USSR

The Special Prize To the Team, Made the Longest Way to the Place of the Olympiad Argentina

The Special Prize To the Most Insistant Team"**

- * The Prize was not handed at the Closing Ceremony because of the Organizers mistake.

 The presentation was held some later.
- ** The Prize was handed to the Great Britain team, which to the organizers spinion overcame great difficulties dealing with the getting of entry visas.

12. The Working Group in Coordination of Actions Dealing with the Following Olympiads

At the final sitting of the Jury Mr. Ris Kock, the Netherlands team leader proposed to create the Working Group for Coordination of Actions dealing with the organization of the following Olympiad in Informatics. The Group was created and it was decided to include in it the representatives of the following organizations and countries:

- 1. UNESCO
- 2. Bulgaria
- 3. BSSR
- 4. Greece
- 5. FRG
- 6. Netherlands
- 7. Great Britain
- 8. China
- 9. Argentina

Conclusion

This Olympiad was only the Second International Olympiad in Informatics. The traditions of such competitions have been just originating. The following Olympiads will take place in Greece (the 101-91) and in Germany (the 101-92). The organizers of the Second Olympiad consider the sharing of some consideration to be their pleasant duty. They must be useful for the organizers of the following Olympiads

 As during the Olympiad-90, the competitions should be carried out in two rounds. However, unlike the 101-90, it may be recommended to plan a free day between the rounds.

- 2. The organizers of the 101-90 experienced certain difficulties while selecting the problems (seep.7) and it was a couse of the first round delay. The following measures may be recommended to the organizers of the following Olympiad:
 - -every participarting country must give at least one problem to the Organization Committee;
 - -the given problem must include the author's formulation in a working language of the Olympiad, unformal description of the algorithm solution, the program in one of the algorithmical languages, tests and the system of their estimation;
 - -the author must also give to the Scientific Committee, or to other body, responsible for the preparation of the problem, the right to insert necessary ammedments, to propose other tests ect.

-All problems given to the following Olympiad organizers' disposal must be published in the Olympiad report.

- 3. According to the exerience of two Olympiads the set problems as a rule have quit a certain nature. On the whole they are combinatorial-logical and games. At the same time the notion "informatics" presumes a wider spectrum, including modeling problems, development of the computing systems fragments and systems of the database control, ect. The problem No 5 set in the first round of the 101-90 may be referred to a number of such untraditional, but no doubt, interesting problems (see p.9.1). The authors of the following Olympiad problems should think about the broadening of the set problems.
- The Scientific Committee or other body, responsible for the preparation of promlems must submit for the International Jury the considered formulation problems. Probably, the inserting of additional subproblems in a selected problem shouldn't be permited directly at the Jury sitting. Such amedments are made in the conditions of time shortage without any preliminary approbation and can lead to undesirable consequences.

One of the former members of the 101-89 Scientific Committee became a member of the 101-90 Scientific Committee together with the representatives of the organizer-country. Such continuity seems to be preserved during the following Olympiads.

6. The representatives of other participating countries were in the 101-89 Coordination Commission together with the representatives of the organizer-country. This experience should be appreciated as a positive one and should be used in the following Olympiads.

7. The Chairman of the Coordination Commission, provided the lesdership of the checking up of promlems solutions is

recommended to be a member of the leading Jury bodies.

8. The Jury sitting of the first two Olynpiads were in two working languages - Russian and English. The use of two of them with the sequence interpretation comlicates such sittings. We recommend to the future Olympiads organizers to use one working will be more productive.

9. The Working Group in coordinations of actions must help significantly the organizers of the future Olympiads (see p. 12). To work more fruitfully the Working Group must gather no less than three times between two Olympiads: in the country of the last Olympiad, in the country of the

next Olympiad and in the third country.

APPENDIX
Resalts of participants

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ROUN	D 2	TO	TAL
	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
BULGARIA FRG BULGARIA USSR HUNGARY USSR CHINA AGENTINA CHINA CHINA POLAND VIETNAM ROMANIA YUGOSLAVIA GDR HUNGARY FRG BSSR CHINA	PETROV SIEBERT TONCHEV KOZLOV SZABO DATUASHVILI JIANG XIAOYE KUZMINSKI YANG CHENG LI JIAN CHRZASZCZ THAN HOAI CALDARARU SUSNJAR NIELANDER HORNAK KLOSS TABOROVETS	65 87 62 49 35 41 25 25 35 35 23 30 20 30 19 15 25 25	2 1 3 4 7 5 22 22 7 41 19 44 19 47 48 22 22	95 66 85 95 86 94 86 73 83 74 83 68 76 65	1 17 7 1 1 5 4 5 13 13 8 12 8 16 10 11 17	160 153 147 144 130 127 119 111 108 108 106 104 103 98 97 91 91	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 16
CZECHO-SLOV SWEDEN CUBA VIETNAM CZECHO-SLOV POLAND USSR ARGENTINA VIETNAM YUGOSLAVIA BSSR CZECHO-SLOV ROMANIA NETHERLANDS BULGARIA BULGARIA GDR YUGOSLAVIA YUGOSLAVIA YUGOSLAVIA	YANG YUNHE KOCAN ERICSON ABDUL MARTINEZ NGUYEN VIET MALY BOGACZ ZAITSEV ROBAK NGUYEN ANH MAGDIC LINEV VARBLANE KRIVANEK PAUCA KEPLIN KHALKALIEV CHALAKOV LEHNMANN FEGES MALIDZAN KIELBASA	15 25 28 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 35 25 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	48 22 21 7 44 22 7 22 22 63 22 7 22 7 48 48 22	73 62 55 47 62 55 45 51 35 47 45 60 41 28 35 24 41 41 31	13 20 23 30 20 23 32 25 26 40 26 32 22 35 47 40 52 35 44	88 82 82 80 80 76 75 74 70 663 69 59 56 56	19 20 21 22 22 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 31 33 34 35 36 38 38 38

	PARTICIPANT'S	POU	ND 1	DOUN			
COUNTRY	TIMETICITIMET D	ROU	MD I	ROUN	D Z	TO	TAL
	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
ROMANIA	PREDA	4	73	51	26	55	41
GDR	RASTOFER	32	18	23	55	55	41
CUBA	NAVARRO PARSIA	35	7	17	59	52	43
FRG	KOHLITZ	25	22	26	50	51	44
ROMANIA	PORUTIU	15	48	34	42	49	45
SWEDEN	ANDERSSON	3	74	45	32	48	46
GR BRITAIN	CAMPBELL	21	43	27	49	48	46
HUNGARY	BERENDI	0	75	48	29	48	46
GDR	DANNEBERG	35	7	12	65	47	49
BSSR	KHAMENYA	25	22	20	56	45	49 50
ITALY	PELLECCHIA	15	48	29	45	44	51
CUBA	PEREZ SAVEDRA	20	44	24	52	44	51
KOREA	KIM VON YEN	5	71	38	39	43	53
ARGENTINA	BACKER	13	62	29	45	43	
GR BRITAIN	OAKLEY	0	75	41	35		54
HUNGARY	GULYAS	35	7	3	74	41 38	55
POLAND	BEHR	25	22	13	61		56
ITALY	RUSSO	25	22	13	61	38 38	56
FRG	WILCZEK	25	22	11	67	36	56
NORWAY	HANSSEN	0	75	32	43	32	59
GREECE	CHOUCHOULAS	15	48	16	60	31	60
KUWAIT	RAMADAN	10	63	20	56	30	61
CZECHO-SLOV	CIZEK	o l	75	28	47	28	62
SPAIN	ALJARILA CORTESON	15	48	13	61	28	63
ITALY	ESPOSITO	25	22	2	75	27	63
BSSR	NAUMOV	15	48	12	65	27	65
KOREA	KIM KHAK SON	0	75	25	51		65
KOREA	TSOI CHOL MIN	15	48	7	69	25 22	67
VIETNAM	NGUYEN HOA	15	48	7	69	22	68
NORWAY	ROGNDALEN	5	71	13	61	18	68
ARGENTINA	MASTRACCHIO	0	75	18	58		70
NORWAY	HOLMGREN	15	48	2	75	18	70
CUBA	GONZALEZ DIAZ	15	48	$\frac{2}{2}$	75	17	72
MONGOLIA	LHAGVAJARGAL	10	63	6	73	17 16	72
KUWAIT	GHANIM	15	48	ő	82	15	74
MONGOLIA	BALDAMNYAM	10	63	2	75	12	75
ITALY	ROMANO	0	75	11	67		76
SPAIN	SAEZ GOMEZ	10	63	1	79	11	77
MOROCCO	TOUKHSATI	10	63	0	82	11	77
NORWAY	BRADTZAEG		63	0	82		79
-		-	0.0	0	04	1 +)	79

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROUI	ND 1	ROUNI	0 2	TOTAL	
0 0 01, 11, 11	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
SWEDEN GREECE GREECE KUWAIT NETHERLANDS GR BRITAIN GR BRITAIN KUWAIT MOROCCO MOROCCO MOROCCO NETHERLANDS SPAIN	BRANDSTROM KALNIS SARLIS BUKHOMAD SAVELSBERGH ANSELL SANDERS AL-RASHIDI AMINE HICHAM MOUHCINE DEKKERS CHAVES SALOR	0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	7 7 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	69 69 82 79 79 82 82 82 82 82 82	7776655555555	81 81 84 86 86 86 86 86 86
SPAIN	SANCHEZ MUNOZ	0	75	0	82	5	86

Team results

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ROUN	D 2	TOTA	.L
	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
ARGENTINA Team leader: S.PORTER	BACKER KUZMINSKI MASTRACCHIO ROBAK	13 25 0 25	62 22 75 22	29 86 18 51	45 5 58 26	42 111 18 76	54 8 70 27
	Team sum Team mean	63 15.75	14	184 46	8	247 61.75	12
BSSR Team leader: V.KOTOV	KHAMENYA LINEV NAUMOV TABOROVETS	25 25 15 25	22 22 48 22	20 47 12 65	56 30 65 19	45 72 27 90	50 30 65 18
	Team sum Team mean	90 22.50	9	144 36	12	23 4 58.50	13
BULGARIA Team leader: P.AZALOV	CHALAKOV KHALKALIEV PETROV TONCHEV	35 25 65 62	7 22 2 3	24 35 95 85	52 40 1 7	59 60 160 147	36 35 1 3
	Team sum Team mean	187 46.75	1	239 59.75	3	426 106.50	1
CHINA Team leader: W.WU	JIANG XIAOYE LI JIAN YANG CHENG YANG YUNHE	25 35 35 15	22 7 7 48	94 73 73 73	4 13 13 13	119 108 108 88	7 9 9 19
	Team sum Team mean	110 27.50	5	313 78.25	1	423 105.75	2
	ABDUL MARTINEZ GONZALEZ DIAZ NAVARRO PARSIA PEREZ SAVEDRA	35 15 35 20	7 48 7 44	47 2 17 24	30 75 59 52	82 17 52 44	22 72 43 51
	Team sum Team mean	105 26.25	7	90 22.50	16	195 48.75	14

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ROUN	D 2	TOTA	L
	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
CZECHO- SLOVAKIA Team leader: P.TOMCSANY	CIZEK KOCAN KRIVANEK MALY	0 25 10 25	75 22 63 22	28 62 60 55	47 20 22 23	28 87 70 80	63 20 31 24
	Team sum Team mean	60 15.00	15	205 51.25	6	265 66.25	10
FRG Team leader: P.HEYDERHOFF	KLOSS KOHLITZ SIEBERT WILCZEK	25 25 87 25	22 22 1 22	66 26 66 11	17 50 17 67	91 51 153 36	16 44 2 59
	Team sum Team mean	162 40.50	2	169 42.25	11	331 82.75	4
GREAT BRITAIN Team leader: W.JAMES	ANSELL CAMPBELL OAKLEY SANDERS	0 21 0 0	75 43 75 75	0 27 41 0	82 49 35 82	5 48 41 5	86 46 55 86
	Team sum Team mean	21 5.25	24	68 17.00	17	89 22.25	18
GDR Team leader: M.FOTHE	DANNEBERG LEHNMANN NIELANDER RASTOFER	35 35 19 32	7 7 47 18	12 24 78 23	65 52 10 55	47 59 97 55	49 36 15 41
	Team sum Team mean	121 30.25	4	137 34.25	14	258 64.50	11
GREECE Team leader: S.KILIAS	CHOUCHOULAS KALNIS SARLIS	15 0 7	48 75 70	16 7 0	60 69 82	31 7 7	61 81 81
	Team sum Team mean	22 7.33	20	23 7.67	21	45 15.00	21
HUNGARY Team leader: P.HANAK	BERENDI GULYAS HORNAK SZABO	0 35 15 35	75 7 48 7	48 3 76 95	29 74 11 1	48 38 91 130	46 56 16 5
	Team sum Team mean	85 21.25	10	222 55.50	4	307 76.75	5

GOLIMADA	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ROUN	D 2	TOTA	L
COUNTRY	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE		
ITALY Team leader: M.IOLLO	ESPOSITO PELLECCHIA ROMANO RUSSO	25 15 0 25	22 48 75 22	2 29 11 13	61 45 67 61	27 44 11 38	65 51 77 56
	Team sum Team mean	65 16.25	12	55 13.75	18	120 30.00	16
KOREA Team leader: VON LI YEN	KIM KHAK SON KIM VON YEN TSOI CHOL MIN	0 5 15	75 71 48	25 38 7	51 39 69	25 43 22	67 53 68
	Team sum Team mean	20 6.67	21	70 23.33	15	90 30.00	16
KUWAIT Team leader: A. HAJAJ	AL-RASHIDI BUKHOMAD GHANIM RAMADAN	0 0 15 10	75 75 48 63	0 1 0 20	82 79 82 56	5 6 15 30	86 84 75 62
	Team sum Team mean	25 6.25	22	21 5.25	22	46 11.50	23
MONGOLIA Team leader: C.PUREVSHAL-	BALDAMNYAM LHAGVAJARGAL	10 10	63 63	2 6	75 73	12 16	76 74
SAD	Team sum Team mean	20 10.00	18	8 4.00	23	28 14.00	22
MOROCCO Team leader: H.ABDELOUA- HAD	AMINE HICHAM MOUHCINE TOUKHSATI	0 0 0 10	75 75 75 63	0 0 0 0	82 82 82 82	5 5 5 10	86 86 86 79
	Team sum Team mean	10 2.50	25	0.00	25	10 2.50	25
NETHERLANDS Team leader: R.KOCK	DEKKERS KEPLIN SAVELSBERGH	0 35 0	75 7 75	0 28 1	82 47 79	5 63 6	86 34 84
	Team sum Team mean	35 11.67	16	29 9.67	20	64 21.33	19

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ROUN	D 2	TOTA	L
COONTILL	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
NORWAY Team leader: S.HAUGE	BRADTZAEG HANSSEN HOLMGREN ROGNDALEN	10 0 15 5	63 75 48 71	0 32 2 13	82 43 75 61	10 32 17 18	79 60 72 70
	Team sum Team mean	30 7.50	19	47 11.75	19	77 19.25	20
POLAND Team leader: A.WALAT	BEHR BOGACZ CHRZASZCZ KIELBASA	25 35 23 25	22 7 41 22	13 45 83 31	61 32 8 44	38 80 106 56	56 24 11 38
	Team sum Team mean	1 8 37.00	6	172 43.00	1 ()	280 70.00	8
ROMANIA Team leader: HI.GEOR- GESCU	CALDARARU PAUCA PORUTIU PREDA	20 25 15 4	44 22 48 73	83 41 34 51	8 35 42 26	103 66 49 55	13 33 45 41
	Team sum Team mean	64 16.00	13	209 52.25	5	273 68.25	9
SPAIN Team leader: L.VILLACORTA	ALJARILA CORTESON CHAVES SALOR SAEZ GOMEZ SANCHEZ MUNOZ	:5 () 19 ()	48 75 63 75	13 0 1 0	61 82 79 82	28 5 11 5	63 86 77 86
	Team sum Team mean	ี 5 ธิ. 25	22	14 3.50	24	39 9.75	24
SWEDEN Team leader: H.STROMBERG	ANDERSSON BRANDSTROM ERICSON	3) 3	74 75 21	45 7 55	32 69 23	48 7 83	46 81 21
	Team sum Team mean	31 19.3 3	17	107 35.67	13	138 46.00	15
USSR Team leader: V.KIRYUKHIN	DATUASHVILI KOZLOV VARBLANE ZAITSEV	41 49 25 25	5 4 22 22	86 95 45 54	5 1 32 25	127 144 70 79	6 4 31 26
	Team sum Team mean	140 35.00	3	280 70.00	2	420 105.00	3

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S	ROU	ND 1	ND 1 ROUND 2		TOTAL	
	NAME	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE	POINTS	PLACE
VIETNAM Team leader: HO SI DAM	NGUYEN ANH NGUYEN HOA NGUYEN VIET THAN HOAI	40 15 20 30	6 48 44 19	35 7 62 74	40 69 20 12	75 22 82 104	28 68 22 12
	Team sum Team mean	105 26.25	7	178 44.50	9	283 70.75	7
YUGOSLAVIA Team leader: J.KRATICA	FEGES MAGDIC MALIDZAN SUSNJAR	15 23 15 30	48 41 48 19	41 51 41 68	35 26 35 16	56 74 56 98	38 29 38 14
	Team sum Team mean	83 20.75	11	201 50.25	7	28 4 7 1 .00	6

Programming Languages Used

COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT' :	PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE
ARGENTINA	BACKER KUZMINSKI MASTRACCHIO ROBAK	Quick Basic Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
BSSR	KHAMENYA LINEV NAUMOV TABOROVETS	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
BULGARIA	CHALAKOV KHALKALIEV PETROV TONCHEV	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
CHINA	JIANG XIAOYE LI JIAN YANG CHENG YANG YUNHE	Turbo Pascal Quick Basic Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
CUBA	ABDUL MARTINEZ GONZALEZ DIAZ NAVARRO PARSIA PEREZ SAVEDRA	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
CZECHO- SLOVAKIA	CIZEK KOCAN KRIVANEK MALY	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
FRG	KLOSS KOHLITZ SIEBERT WILCZEK	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
GREAT BRITAIN	ANSELL CAMPBELL OAKLEY SANDERS	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal

PARTICIPANT'S	PROGRAMMING
NAME	LANGUAGE
DANNEBERG	Turbo Pascal
LEHNMANN	Turbo Pascal
NIELANDER	Turbo Pascal
RASTOFER	Turbo Pascal
CHOUCHOULAS	Turbo Pascal
KALNIS	Turbo Pascal
SARLIS	Turbo Pascal
BERENDI	Turbo Pascal
GULYAS	Turbo Pascal
HORNAK	Turbo Pascal
SZABO	Turbo Pascal
ESPOSITO	Turbo Pascal
PELLECCHIA	Turbo Pascal
ROMANO	Turbo Pascal
RUSSO	Turbo Pascal
KIM KHAK SON	Turbo Pascal
KIM VON YEN	Turbo Pascal
TSOI CHOL MIN	Turbo Pascal
AL-RASHIDI	GW Basic
BUKHOMAD	GW Basic
GHANIM	GW Basic
RAMADAN	GW Basic
BALDAMNYAM	Turbo Pascal
LHAGVAJARGAL	Turbo Basic
AMINE	GW Basic
HICHAM	GW Basic
MOUHCINE	GW Basic
TOUKHSATI	GW Basic
DEKKERS	LCN LOGO
KEPLIN	LCN LOGO
SAVELSBERGH	LCN LOGO
	DANNEBERG LEHNMANN NIELANDER RASTOFER CHOUCHOULAS KALNIS SARLIS BERENDI GULYAS HORNAK SZABO ESPOSITO PELLECCHIA ROMANO RUSSO KIM KHAK SON KIM VON YEN TSOI CHOL MIN AL-RASHIDI BUKHOMAD GHANIM RAMADAN BALDAMNYAM LHAGVAJARGAL AMINE HICHAM MOUHCINE TOUKHSATI DEKKERS KEPLIN

ſ		
COUNTRY	PARTICIPANT'S NAME	PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE
NORWAY	BRADTZAEG HANSSEN HOLMGREN ROGNDALEN	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
POLAND	BEHR BOGACZ CHRZASZCZ KIELBASA	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo C
ROMANIA	CALDARARU PAUCA PORUTIU PREDA	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
SPAIN	ALJARILA CORTEZON CHAVES SALOR SAEZ GOMEZ SANCHEZ MUNOZ	Turbo Basic Turbo Basic Turbo Basic Turbo Basic
SWEDEN	ANDERSSON BRANDSTROM ERICSON	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
USSR	DATUASHVILI KOZLOV VARBLANE ZAITSEV	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
VIETNAM	NGUYEN ANH NGUYEN HOA NGUYEN VIET THAN HOAI	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal
YUGOSLAVIA	FEGES MAGDIC MALIDZAN SUSNJAR	Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal Turbo Pascal